

## Qualitative analysis of precipitation distribution in Poland with use of different data sources

J. Walawender, I. Dyras<sup>†</sup>, B. Łapeta, D. Serafin-Rek, and A. Twardowski

Institute of Meteorology and Water Management, Satellite Remote Sensing Centre,  
ul. P. Borowego 14, 30-215 Kraków, Poland

<sup>†</sup> deceased

Received: 8 November 2007 – Revised: 15 February 2008 – Accepted: 15 February 2008 – Published: 10 April 2008

**Abstract.** Geographical Information Systems (GIS) can be used to integrate data from different sources and in different formats to perform innovative spatial and temporal analysis. GIS can be also applied for climatic research to manage, investigate and display all kinds of weather data.

The main objective of this study is to demonstrate that GIS is a useful tool to examine and visualise precipitation distribution obtained from different data sources: ground measurements, satellite and radar data.

Three selected days (30 cases) with convective rainfall situations were analysed. Firstly, scalable GRID-based approach was applied to store data from three different sources in comparable layout. Then, geoprocessing algorithm was created within ArcGIS 9.2 environment. The algorithm included: GRID definition, reclassification and raster algebra. All of the calculations and procedures were performed automatically. Finally, contingency tables and pie charts were created to show relationship between ground measurements and both satellite and radar derived data. The results were visualised on maps.

### 1 Introduction

Radar and satellite remote sensing provide almost continuous spatial information on precipitation as opposed to in situ ground measurements (rain gauges network) and therefore are very valuable source of data for different hydrological and meteorological applications. However, practical use of precipitation data obtained from satellite and weather radar imagery requires validation with use of classic rainfall measurements, which are in fact the only criterion for quality assessment of the remotely sensed data. Combining these data within one environment to perform comparative analysis is often a problem due to a different data structures and formats as well as temporal and spatial resolution variety.

This study employs GIS techniques as a solution for multiple source precipitation data integration and analysis. This is the first attempt to perform comparative analysis of rainfall distribution in Poland within GIS environment. Three selected days (22 May, 4 and 5 June 2007) with convective rainfall situations were taken into account. In total 30 cases

were analysed. Each case refer to a triple of corresponding satellite, radar and ground measurements taken in approximately the same time. The amount of chosen cases was limited by unsystematic availability of radar data from singular radar units. Only qualitative analysis was executed in this research.

### 2 Data sources

Data used in this study are rainfall measurements from automatic rain gauge network, weather radar rain rate estimates and meteorological satellite rain rate estimates.


#### 2.1 Rain gauges network (ATS)

Automatic rain gauges are installed on Automatic Telemetric Ground Measurement Stations (ATS). The whole network consists of nearly 1000 ATS posts distributed all over the country. 365 post out of the whole network were used in this study as they provided precipitation data for the selected days (Fig. 1).

Relatively dense ATS network gives opportunity to compare efficiently rain gauge data with satellite and radar data. Rain gauge measurements were available every 10 min.



Correspondence to: J. Walawender  
(jakub.walawender@imgw.pl)



**Figure 1.** Automatic rain gauges network in Poland.

## 2.2 Satellite derived rain rate product (H03)


The H03 rain rate estimation at ground were calculated from METEOSAT/SEVIRI data and “calibrated” by NOAA microwave precipitation data in sun-synchronous orbits. The method was developed in the frame of EUMETSAT H-SAF (Satellite Application Facility on Support to Operational Hydrology and Water Management) Project. The product coverage is equal to the rectangular area located between 25° N and 60° N lat. and between 25° W and 45° E long. Average spatial resolution over Europe is 8km (controlled by the IR pixel size) and temporal resolution is 15 min (H-SAF ATDD, 2007).

## 2.3 Weather radar rain rate composite (RD)

**POLRAD (POLish RADar network)** operated by Institute of Meteorology and Water Management (IMGW) consists of eight radars produced by AMS-Gematronik. They are C-band, Doppler, five magnetron and three klystron radars (Szturc and Dziewit, 2005). The radars are located in different parts of the country assuring coverage for almost the whole area of Poland, excluding the very north-eastern part (Fig. 2).

Quality control of data delivered by Polish Radar Network (POLRAD) is mainly performed by UK MetOffice NIMROD System, which has recently been implemented in Poland. The radar products available operationally are quality controlled using data from other sources (weather stations, lighting detection system, meteorological satellite, and NWP) (Szturc et al., 2006). Rain Rate Composite is available every 10 min with spatial resolution of 1km.

Radar and ground measurements are obtained exactly in the same time (every 10 min), but there was some small time



**Figure 2.** POLRAD weather radar network.


shift (<10 min) in each case while comparing with satellite rainfall estimates due to 15-min time interval between subsequent satellite data.

## 3 Pre-processing

The first step of data processing required data transformation in order to assure interoperability with ArcGIS environment. Most of the atmospheric datasets (including radar and satellite precipitation products used in this study) do not fit easily into traditional GIS standards (raster or vector). These datasets were classified by the Atmospheric Data Working Group as “GIS Alien” (The ADM Group, 2006).

Two applications were prepared to transform radar rain rate estimates (originally stored in unix binary format (Stone and Harison, 2004)) and H03 satellite rain rate product (originally stored in GRIB format) into an easy accessible ASCII format. Grib2ascii.c converter was prepared in the frame of H-SAF with use of the ECMWF GRIB API library package for encoding/decoding GRIB files (GRIP API online manual). Another application was written in Delphi to transform binary NIMROD rain rate files, including geographical reference to ASCII format with georeference stored in header. Decoded files were then imported to the ArcGIS using ASCII to raster conversion tool.

Automatic rain gauge (ATS) data were originally provided in ASCII format including information about geographical coordinates of rain gauge, precipitation value as well as date and hour of measurement. This dataset was ready to open in the ArcGIS after being simplified to ASCII XYZ structure. These data were imported as point shapefile layers and transformed into rasters (without specialisation) using point to raster conversion tool.



**Figure 3.** Raster algebra: sum expression.

**Table 1.** Raster algebra results: percentage share of sum expression output pixel values for each examined combination.

	ATS + H03	RD + H03	ATS + RD
0	87%	82%	79%
1	3%	11%	1%
2	9%	4%	17%
3	1%	3%	3%

#### 4 Geoprocessing algorithm


The geoprocessing algorithm was created within ArgGIS environment and it included three major steps:

1. *GRID definition:* Input precipitation data rasters were resampled with use of nearest neighbor method to the same pixel size (8x8km) determined by the spatial resolution of the H03 product. The GRID extent was limited by the administrative boundaries of Poland.
2. *Pixels reclassification:* As the study introduces qualitative approach to the rainfall distribution analysis input rasters representing rainfall patterns were reclassified to binary(0-1) maps (e.g.: 1 – rain; 0 – no rain).
3. *Raster algebra:* Raster algebra is an easy-to-use and powerful alternative to define spatial analysis as algebraic expressions. In this study sum expression was used to compare grids representing precipitation distribution obtained from rain gauge measurement, weather radar and satellite technology (Fig 3).

All of the calculations and procedures were performed automatically. While investigate relations between ATS and H03 as well as between ATS and RD only GRID cells containing both rain gauge and satellite or radar data were taken into account. Contingency tables were created to record and analyse the relationship between rainfall distribution obtained from ground measurements and both satellite and radar data. The results were visualised on maps.

#### 5 Results and discussion

The summary of the contingency analysis is stored in the Table 1 and example visualisation of one case study relation-



Background image: MET9-HRV (~1.5x1.5km)

**Figure 4.** Sum expression output map for ATS and RD rainfall estimations on the 4 June 2007, 15:20 h.

ship analysis between ATS and RD precipitation patterns is presented in Fig. 4.

The amount of analysed cases doesn't seem to be enough to comment about the quality of satellite or radar derived rain rate products. However, it was noticed that spatial distribution of precipitation within satellite and radar products fit much better to each other than to the automatic ground measurements. On the other hand, radar rain rate composites seemed to overestimate precipitation occurrences, most probably because of non-precipitating clutter/anaprop echoes, which might have been neither recognised nor removed during NIMROD quality control procedure. Erroneous in situ ground measurements were also identified. Rainfalls were registered by the automatic rain gauge while there were no clouds visible in the corresponding satellite image.

#### 6 Conclusion and further research

GIS gives possibility to perform very interesting comparative analysis of precipitation distribution by integration of data obtained from different sources (automatic rain gauges, satellite data, radar data). GIS methods are not only useful for studying simple case studies but also very efficient for advanced data analysis and visualization. GIS contains a wide range of tools to create complex maps of combined information. The most challenging task was to transform "GIS Allien" precipitation datasets into GIS rasters. Presented scenario of source data conversion made it possible

to view, manage, examine and visualize precipitation data within ArcGIS environment.

The proposed GRID-based approach of data management allows to study spatial distribution of precipitation without ground data spatialisation. This approach seemed to be more convenient way of representing discrete in space parameters such as precipitation.

The chosen research method can be used for quality assessment of rain retrieval algorithms from different data sources and for various synoptic situations. The popular method of quality assessment of precipitation estimations derived from satellite and radar data by rain gauge measurements should be revised and adjusted. This research confirmed that rain gauges have some own errors as well as radar and satellite technology.

More detailed research is planned to develop algorithm for qualitative analysis of rainfall distribution in Poland with use of combined precipitation information from different data sources and GIS. It is now clear that during detailed qualitative analysis more attention should be given to in depth source data quality assessment and verification.

**Acknowledgements.** The work was partially financed by EU-METSAT H-SAF Project (Satellite Application Facility on Support to Operational Hydrology and Water Management) – 410/U/2005.

ArcGIS 9.2 with Spatial Analyst used in this study is licensed to the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management, Satellite Remote Sensing Centre and was purchased in the frame of the Ministry of Scientific Research and Information Technology Grant number 618/E-217/SPB/COST/KN/DWM 50/2006-2007.

Edited by: M. Dolinar

Reviewed by: M. Percec-Tadic and B. Tellez Jurado

## References

- Atmospheric Data Modeling Group: Cataloguing data by usability in GIS, Atmospheric Front, ESRI, [http://www.weather.gov/regsci/gis/presentations/ESRI\\_Fall06.pdf](http://www.weather.gov/regsci/gis/presentations/ESRI_Fall06.pdf), last access: 22 October 2007.
- GRIB API online manual: [http://www.ecmwf.int/products/data/software/grib\\_api.html](http://www.ecmwf.int/products/data/software/grib_api.html), last access: 11 July 2007.
- H-SAF Algorithm Theoretical Definition Document: Baseline version (ATDD-1.0), Part-2 – Algorithms for precipitation products generation, edited by: Bizzarri, B., 2007.
- Stone, D. and Harison, D.: Nimrod System Documentation Paper no.2 – NIMROD Format for Image and Model field files, 2004.
- Szturc, J. and Dziewit, Z.: COST 717 Final Report, chapter: Status and perspectives on using radar data, Poland, 217–220, [http://www.smhi.se/cost717/doc/WDF\\_MC\\_200505\\_1.pdf](http://www.smhi.se/cost717/doc/WDF_MC_200505_1.pdf), 2005.
- Szturc, J., Osródk, K., and Jurczyk, A.: 2006 Scheme of quality index for radar-derived estimated and nowcasted precipitation, Proceedings of ERAD, <http://www.grahi.upc.es/ERAD2006/proceedingsMask/00162.pdf>, 2006.